



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_25347
Title of the Manuscript:	Radiologic pattern of sputum-positive Pulmonary Tuberculosis (PTB) among immunocompetent patients in Gwagwalada, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Methods :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What is the study period? • How was the sample size determined? • Describe the reading procedure of chest x-rays: who? Where? How?... • What were the variables of interest • The disease duration and the treatment duration are important issues to be studied • What about ethical issues? <p>Results</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Line 87: in which groups were the difference sought? • Table 1: there is no scientific reason to present pulmonary lesions by age group as done • Table 2: this table is not necessary. All the informations stated here can be said in one sentence • What difference is done between "upper lung zones" and "upper lobes" <p>Discussion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This study did not demonstrate "that reactivation of primary focus was a predominant findings in immunocompetent adults in Abuja". The authors should stay consistent with the objective of the study • The concept of "reactivation lesion" has not been clearly defined in this study • The author did not clearly justify the predominance of fibrosis in their sample. This 	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>lesion is supposed to be a sequelae of TB. Why is it so frequent here?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The limitations to this study should be discussed <p>Conclusion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clearly give the answer to the research question <p>Ethical issue: The authors should indicate if they obtained the institutional board review authorization</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>Introduction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • References in the text should be written in brackets 	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Many typos have to be addressed: example “low income countries” instead of “resource poor countries • Revise the hole text for serious grammatical issues 	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Moulion Tapouh Jean Roger</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Radiology and Medical Imaging, Yaounde University Hospital Center, Cameroon</i>