



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_24673
Title of the Manuscript:	A comparison of rheumatoid arthritis patients in Kuwait with other populations: results from the KRRD registry
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	-	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>The statement written in conclusion: A higher Family history may be related to the common tradition of arranged marriage between relatives; should be erased and just put in discussion because the author did not do any analysis regarding this statement.</p> <p>This research was done to compare with other RA populations and with Kuwaiti general population, but in conclusion (in abstract) there was just comparison to other RA populations and not with Kuwaiti general population. So it should be revised.</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Good research	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nyoman Kertia
Department, University & Country	Department of Internal Medicine, Gadjah Mada University, Indonesia