



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_24429
Title of the Manuscript:	A rare case of acquired axillary cystic hygroma in an adult patient.
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>I am really honoured for the chance of reviewing the present paper and, first of all, i'd like to thank the editors for this chance given.</p> <p>The paper is a brief report on a rare case of axillary hygroma in an adult male, this is a quite unusual clinical finding and deserves attention for publication.</p> <p>The paper is overall well written and the case is presented with a good scientific exposition and properly concise. The bibliography is correctly cited.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>Page 1 lines 14 to 16: please review the sentence, it's really unclear.</p> <p>Page 1 line30: consider changing "non mobile" with "fixed to...."</p> <p>Page 2 line 49: consider changing "separated intact" with "completely removed"</p> <p>Page 1 line 39 and page 3 line 51: it's hard to understand the discrepancy between measurements on MRI and specimen. One may argue that the second measure regards to the opened specimen but this makes a little sense. I'd rather maintain the originary measures since they are the same.</p> <p>Page 3 line 61-62. No matters the exact dates of clinic controls. It would be sufficient to declare the length of disease free follow up</p> <p>In general there are some sentences needing language editing and the whole paper would surely benefit from a</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	language polishing	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The overall impression is that the present paper should be accepted for publishing after proper language polishing	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Francesco A. Polistina</i>
Department, University & Country	Department Of General And Oncologic Surgery, Monselice Hospital, Padua, Italy