



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_24279
Title of the Manuscript:	FANCONI ANEMIA GENES AND REACTIVE OXYGEN SPECIES IN CANCER DEVELOPMENT
Type of the Article	Review paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	The present review article has certainly some merits and includes interesting observations. However, I have a major concern. In the present for the article is more a historical perspective rather than a review. The author(s), who certainly gave a significant contribution to the field, should describe their own findings together with the other independent ones in a more objective manner. Further, the article needs a language revision and mistyping correction (the first sentence in the abstract apparently misses the verb etc).	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pier Paolo Piccaluga
Department, University & Country	Department of Experimental ,Diagnostic, and Specialty Medicine, Bologna University School of Medicine, Italy