



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_23842
Title of the Manuscript:	Paraganglioma of Carotid Body: A Case Report
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. Major revision of syntax and English expression (Native reviewer)</p> <p>2. Results: There are no figures of CT examination. Why the authors did not use a CT or MR angiography for preoperative planning?</p> <p>3. Discussion: The authors should discuss the proper diagnostic modality for preoperative planning. Why did they use digital angiography? They should discuss the advantages and disadvantages of each modality.</p> <p>4. Discussion: the authors selected surgery. Recently, many authors have advocated preoperative embolization of the carotid body tumour. They could discuss why they did not select it and potential indications.</p> <p>5. Discussion: The authors mention the Shamblin classification. This is a histological classification. What other radiological classifications could be used preoperatively?</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	George Galyfos
Department, University & Country	Department of Surgery, University of Athens Medical Hospital, Athens, Greece