



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_23758
Title of the Manuscript:	TO COMPARE THE PURSUANCE OF ULTRASONIC ACTIVATION AT DISTINCT PLANES OF ENODONTIC THERAPY ON FILLING SUPERIORITY OF DIFFERENT ROOT CANAL SEALERS.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>Need to improve references and more studies about the sealers.</p> <p>Need to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Improve references - Relate the study with others focusing in leaking and adhesive properties - Improve Methodology - Improve statistical analysis 	-
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jorge Paredes Vieyra
Department, University & Country	Autonomous University of Baja California, Mexico