



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJMMR_18578
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of Anti-HBcore and HBsAg among health care workers in Public Hospitals, White Nile State, Sudan; 2013
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Lines 83 to 115: Result: this chapter should be divided in subtitles and any subtitle should be followed by a sentence stating what you want to show with the followed figure or table. After the figure or the table, one short sentence or expression should outline just the most important idea (see example with figure 1).</p> <p>131 to 199: References: please read the guidelines of this journal and correct your references according to the guidelines.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>Line 23: Non international abbreviation should not be part of key words.</p> <p>Line 26: Give the year and reference</p> <p>Line 34: instead of: "<" , write "less than"</p> <p>Lines 116 to 126: Correct the way of putting references</p> <p>121: Instead of [11, 12, 13, 14]. write [11- 14].</p> <p>124: Instead of [15, 16, 10] [10, 15, 16]</p> <p>126: Instead of [18, 6, 119, 20, 10] write [6, , 10, 18, 19, 20];</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>Revise the tense used in all the manuscript</p> <p>Lines 98 to 101: this would have been best explained by the table, so that you can compute P value to know if one group is most affected or not.</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fouelifack Ymele Florent
Department, University & Country	Obstetrics And Gynaecology Department, Higher Institute of Medical Technologies of Yaounde, Cameroon