1 2	<u>Original Research Article</u> Effect of acute placenta inflammatory changes on fetal outcome among
3	paturients in Nigeria.
4	Key words: acute inflammation, placenta, fetal outcome, Nigeria.
5	Abstract
6	Introduction
7	The placenta unit is significant for the survival of the fetus. Infections from the
8	mother can cause histologically identifiable inflammatory changes in the
9	placenta, which may adversely affect the fetus.
10	Aim: to identify the inflammatory changes in the placenta and its effect on fetal
11	outcome.
12	Study design: prospective cross sectional study
13	Place and duration of study: Department of obstetrics and Gynaecology and
14	Department of Anatomical pathology of the University of Port Harcourt Teaching
15	hospital, between 1 st September – 31 st of December, 2015.
16	Methods: Histological analysis of 192 placenta tissues of singleton birth
17	paturients was carried out. The sociodemographic characteristics of patients and
18	the fetal outcome was collated and analyzed. The information obtained was
19	processed using the SPSS version 20 software and Epi info software version 7.
20	Results were presented in tables, test of association was done using student's t-
21	test and odds ratio with P value < 0.05 set as significant.
22	Results: The mean age of patients was 30.1± 4.6 years with age range of 19-
23	48years. Acute placental inflammatory changes of varying grades were noted in
24	71.1%(129) of placenta with severe inflammatory changes constituting 8.3% of
25	all examined placentae. Placenta with severe inflammation had twice increased

26	risk of low birth weight babies (P=0.3,OR 2.32,CI 0.26-17.22). Severely inflamed
27	placenta was associated with birth asphyxia (χ^2 =8.82, P=0.0029,OR 0.31;CI 0.31-
28	0.73) and fetal demise (P=0.0336)
29	Conclusion. Acute inflammatory changes are common among paturients in Port
30	Harcourt Nigeria. These changes in placenta are associated with birth asphyxia
31	and fetal demise especially when they are severe.
32	
33	Introduction
34	The placenta is an integral part of the existence of the fetus, it serves as an
35	interface in the transmission of requisite nutrients and other metabolic
36	materials.[1,2] The placenta histology can be altered by intrauterine infections
37	which is usually acquired as a result of ascending infection from the genital tract,
38	gut or hematogenously resulting in chorioamnionitis which complicates about
39	10% of all pregnancies with up to 2% occurring during labour.[3,4]
40	Several organisms have been implicated in the causation of chorioamnionitis
41	inclusive of bacterial and viral organisms with bacterial organisms linked to
42	acute inflammation while viral organisms were associated with chronic
43	changes.[3,5,6] Polymicrobial bacterial organisms contribute to these acute
44	inflammatory changes of which ureaplasma urealyticulum and gardnella
45	vaginitis are the two commonest organisms.[3]
46	The presence of infectious organisms in the chorioamnion engenders a maternal
47	and fetal inflammatory response characterized by pro-inflammatory and
48	inhibitory cytokines and chemokines in the maternal and fetal compartments;
49	with maternal inflammatory response preceding the fetal response.[7] The
50	placenta changes in the presence of infection can be categorized into acute,

51	subacute or chronic with acute chorioamnionitis with or without fetal
52	inflammatory response, villitis and decidualitis been the most common types of
53	placenta inflammation observed.[8]
54	Acute chorioamnionitis can be diagnosed clinically or histologically. Histological
55	chorioamnionitis is defined by the presence of acute histological changes on
56	examination of the amniotic membrane and chorion of the placenta.[9]
57	Sometimes subclinical infections can be missed but captured by histological
58	examination of placenta and histological diagnosis is the gold standard for
59	evaluation of antenatal inflammatory process that might influence fetal
60	development[10,11].This modality of diagnosis of infection increases the rate of
61	identification of chorioamnionitis than clinically diagnosed chorioamnionitis
62	confirmed by amniotic fluid culture because of lack of detection of some
63	organisms cultured.[10]
64	Some series have identified that impairment of placental development as a result
65	of infection may have a profound impart in fetal development and pregnancy
66	outcome such as cerebral palsy and high rate of stillbirths.[12,13] It is on these
67	basis that this study seeks to determine the prevalence of severe acute
68	inflammatory placenta changes and its effect on the fetal outcome among
69	paturients who presented for delivery at the University Teaching Hospital in Port
70	Harcourt, Nigeria
71	
72	Methodology
73	A cross sectional prospective histological study of all placentae of women who
74	had singleton deliveries between 1^{st} September to 30^{th} December 2015 was
75	conducted. The women were recruited into the study as they presented to the

76 labour ward after due counseling on the scope of the study by the investigators. 77 All patients who gave consent for the study where included. Exclusion criteria 78 included: multi-order pregnancies, women who had evidence of 79 immunosuppression like diabetes mellitus, on steroids or retroviral disease, 80 patients with preeclampsia were also excluded. The sociodemographic 81 characteristics of patients which include: age, parity, educational status and 82 booking status; birth weight, presence or absence of birth asphyxia and fetal 83 outcome (dead or alive) were collated in a prestructured spread sheet and 84 analyzed. The placenta immediately after delivery was collected and blood stain 85 removed from it using gentle running water, preserved in 10% formaldehyde 86 solution and transferred to the anatomical pathology laboratory of the teaching 87 hospital for processing. Grossing of the placenta was done and representative 88 sections were taken. Tissues were processed in the automated tissue processor 89 and later stained using the hematoxylin and eosin methods. 90 Two independent pathologists reviewed processed slides and areas of disparity 91 were resolved following a consensus decision of the two pathologists. Histologic 92 grading of acute inflammation assesses the density of neutrophil infiltrates and 93 the relative distance migrated from the vessels of origin. Placental membranes 94 were scored and categorized as: (Nil)-no chorioamnionitis, (+) Mild -95 subchorionitis, Moderate(++)- patchy acute chorioamnionitis and severe(+++) -96 severe, confluent chorioamnionitis. 97 The information obtained was processed using the SPSS version 20 software 98 (SPSS Inc; Chicago USA) and Epi info software version 7. Results were presented 99 in tables, test of association was doneusing student's t-test and odds ratio with P 100 value < 0.05 set as significant.

102The mean age of patients was 30.1± 4.6 years with an age range of 19-48 years.10369.3(133) were in the age group 25-34 years and 73.4%(141) were nulliparous104patients, 75%(144) had tertiary education and 93.2%(179) of examined105placenta belonged to booked patients while 6.8%(13) were unbooked. Other106sociodemographic variables are as shown in Table 1.107Table two showed the relationship of booking status to placenta inflammatory108changes. Acute placenta inflammatory changes were observed in 123(64.1%) of109all patients studied with 16(8.3%) having severe inflammatory changes. Among110the patients, 172(89.6%)were booked while 10.4% were unbooked. In patients111with severe placenta changes 14(87.5%) were booked while 2(12.5%) were112unbooked. Booking status was not significantly associated with placenta113inflammatory changes(P=0.0926, OR 0.3, 95% CI 0.05-1.52).Booking status was114also not significantly associated with severe placenta changes(P=0.1590, OR1150.2,95% CI 0.02-2.32). Among babies delivered 11(5.7%) had low birth weight116while 94.3%(181) had normal birth weight. Among all babies born to mothers117with severe placenta inflammatory changes (SPIC), 2(12.5%) were low birth118weight while 16(87.5%) were not low birth weight. Other distributions are as119showed in Table 2. Patients with placenta inflammatory changes have increased120risk of having low birth weight babies (OR 1.07 CI 0.28-4.43). Severe placenta121inflammatory changes were associated with twice increased r	101	Results
 patients, 75%(144) had tertiary education and 93.2%(179) of examined placenta belonged to booked patients while 6.8%(13) were unbooked. Other sociodemographic variables are as shown in Table 1. Table two showed the relationship of booking status to placenta inflammatory changes. Acute placenta inflammatory changes were observed in 123(64.1%) of all patients studied with 16(8.3%) having severe inflammatory changes. Among the patients, 172(89.6%) were booked while 10.4% were unbooked. In patients with severe placenta changes 14(87.5%) were booked while 2(12.5%) were unbooked. Booking status was not significantly associated with placenta inflammatory changes(P=0.0926, OR 0.3, 95% CI 0.05-1.52).Booking status was also not significantly associated with severe placenta changes(P=0.1590, OR 0.2,95% CI 0.02-2.32). Among babies delivered 11(5.7%) had low birth weight while 94.3%(181) had normal birth weight. Among all babies born to mothers with severe placenta inflammatory changes (SPIC), 2(12.5%) were low birth weight while 16(87.5%) were not low birth weight. Other distributions are as showed in Table 2. Patients with placenta inflammatory changes have increased risk of having low birth weight babies (OR 1.07 CI 0.28-4.43). Severe placenta inflammatory changes were associated with twice increased risk low birth weight (OR 2.32, CI 0.26-17.22). But these were not statistically significant (P=0.6,0.3 respectively) Table 3 showed the relationship between fetal outcome and placenta 	102	The mean age of patients was 30.1 ± 4.6 years with an age range of 19-48 years.
 placenta belonged to booked patients while 6.8%(13) were unbooked. Other sociodemographic variables are as shown in Table 1. Table two showed the relationship of booking status to placenta inflammatory changes. Acute placenta inflammatory changes were observed in 123(64.1%) of all patients studied with 16(8.3%) having severe inflammatory changes. Among the patients, 172(89.6%)were booked while 10.4% were unbooked. In patients with severe placenta changes 14(87.5%) were booked while 2(12.5%) were unbooked. Booking status was not significantly associated with placenta inflammatory changes(P=0.0926, OR 0.3, 95% CI 0.05-1.52).Booking status was also not significantly associated with severe placenta changes(P=0.1590, OR 0.2,95% CI 0.02-2.32). Among babies delivered 11(5.7%) had low birth weight while 94.3%(181) had normal birth weight. Among all babies born to mothers with severe placenta inflammatory changes (SPIC), 2(12.5%) were low birth weight while 16(87.5%) were not low birth weight. Other distributions are as showed in Table 2. Patients with placenta inflammatory changes have increased risk of having low birth weight babies (OR 1.07 CI 0.28-4.43). Severe placenta inflammatory changes were associated with twice increased risk low birth weight (OR 2.32, CI 0.26-17.22). But these were not statistically significant (P=0.6,0.3 respectively) Table 3 showed the relationship between fetal outcome and placenta 	103	69.3(133) were in the age group 25-34 years and 73.4%(141) were nulliparous
 sociodemographic variables are as shown in Table 1. Table two showed the relationship of booking status to placenta inflammatory changes. Acute placenta inflammatory changes were observed in 123(64.1%) of all patients studied with 16(8.3%) having severe inflammatory changes. Among the patients, 172(89.6%)were booked while 10.4% were unbooked. In patients with severe placenta changes 14(87.5%) were booked while 2(12.5%) were unbooked. Booking status was not significantly associated with placenta inflammatory changes(P=0.0926, OR 0.3, 95% CI 0.05-1.52).Booking status was also not significantly associated with severe placenta changes(P=0.1590, OR 0.2,95% CI 0.02-2.32). Among babies delivered 11(5.7%) had low birth weight while 94.3%(181) had normal birth weight. Among all babies born to mothers with severe placenta inflammatory changes (SPIC), 2(12.5%) were low birth weight while 16(87.5%) were not low birth weight. Other distributions are as showed in Table 2. Patients with placenta inflammatory changes have increased risk of having low birth weight babies (OR 1.07 CI 0.28-4.43). Severe placenta inflammatory changes were associated with twice increased risk low birth weight (OR 2.32, CI 0.26-17.22). But these were not statistically significant (P=0.6,0.3 respectively) Table 3 showed the relationship between fetal outcome and placenta 	104	patients, 75%(144) had tertiary education and 93.2%(179) of examined
 Table two showed the relationship of booking status to placenta inflammatory changes. Acute placenta inflammatory changes were observed in 123(64.1%) of all patients studied with 16(8.3%) having severe inflammatory changes. Among the patients, 172(89.6%)were booked while 10.4% were unbooked. In patients with severe placenta changes 14(87.5%) were booked while 2(12.5%) were unbooked. Booking status was not significantly associated with placenta inflammatory changes(P=0.0926, OR 0.3, 95% CI 0.05-1.52).Booking status was also not significantly associated with severe placenta changes(P=0.1590, OR 0.2,95% CI 0.02-2.32). Among babies delivered 11(5.7%) had low birth weight while 94.3%(181) had normal birth weight. Among all babies born to mothers with severe placenta inflammatory changes (SPIC), 2(12.5%) were low birth weight while 16(87.5%) were not low birth weight. Other distributions are as showed in Table 2. Patients with placenta inflammatory changes have increased risk of having low birth weight babies (OR 1.07 CI 0.28-4.43). Severe placenta inflammatory changes were associated with twice increased risk low birth weight (OR 2.32, CI 0.26-17.22). But these were not statistically significant (P=0.6,0.3 respectively) Table 3 showed the relationship between fetal outcome and placenta 	105	placenta belonged to booked patients while 6.8%(13) were unbooked. Other
 changes. Acute placenta inflammatory changes were observed in 123(64.1%) of all patients studied with 16(8.3%) having severe inflammatory changes. Among the patients, 172(89.6%) were booked while 10.4% were unbooked. In patients with severe placenta changes 14(87.5%) were booked while 2(12.5%) were unbooked. Booking status was not significantly associated with placenta inflammatory changes(P=0.0926, OR 0.3, 95% CI 0.05-1.52).Booking status was also not significantly associated with severe placenta changes(P=0.1590, OR 0.2,95% CI 0.02-2.32). Among babies delivered 11(5.7%) had low birth weight while 94.3%(181) had normal birth weight. Among all babies born to mothers with severe placenta inflammatory changes (SPIC), 2(12.5%) were low birth weight while 16(87.5%) were not low birth weight. Other distributions are as showed in Table 2. Patients with placenta inflammatory changes have increased risk of having low birth weight babies (OR 1.07 CI 0.28-4.43). Severe placenta inflammatory changes were associated with twice increased risk low birth weight (OR 2.32, CI 0.26-17.22). But these were not statistically significant (P=0.6,0.3 respectively) Table 3 showed the relationship between fetal outcome and placenta 	106	sociodemographic variables are as shown in Table 1.
109all patients studied with 16(8.3%) having severe inflammatory changes. Among110the patients, 172(89.6%) were booked while 10.4% were unbooked. In patients111with severe placenta changes 14(87.5%) were booked while 2(12.5%) were112unbooked. Booking status was not significantly associated with placenta113inflammatory changes(P=0.0926, OR 0.3, 95% CI 0.05-1.52).Booking status was114also not significantly associated with severe placenta changes(P=0.1590, OR1150.2,95% CI 0.02-2.32). Among babies delivered 11(5.7%) had low birth weight116while 94.3%(181) had normal birth weight. Among all babies born to mothers117with severe placenta inflammatory changes (SPIC), 2(12.5%) were low birth118weight while 16(87.5%) were not low birth weight. Other distributions are as119showed in Table 2. Patients with placenta inflammatory changes have increased120risk of having low birth weight babies (OR 1.07 CI 0.28-4.43). Severe placenta121inflammatory changes were associated with twice increased risk low birth122weight (OR 2.32, CI 0.26-17.22). But these were not statistically significant123(P=0.6,0.3 respectively)124Table 3 showed the relationship between fetal outcome and placenta	107	Table two showed the relationship of booking status to placenta inflammatory
110the patients, 172(89.6%) were booked while 10.4% were unbooked. In patients111with severe placenta changes 14(87.5%) were booked while 2(12.5%) were112unbooked. Booking status was not significantly associated with placenta113inflammatory changes(P=0.0926, OR 0.3, 95% CI 0.05-1.52). Booking status was114also not significantly associated with severe placenta changes(P=0.1590, OR1150.2,95% CI 0.02-2.32). Among babies delivered 11(5.7%) had low birth weight116while 94.3%(181) had normal birth weight. Among all babies born to mothers117with severe placenta inflammatory changes (SPIC), 2(12.5%) were low birth118weight while 16(87.5%) were not low birth weight. Other distributions are as119showed in Table 2. Patients with placenta inflammatory changes have increased120risk of having low birth weight babies (OR 1.07 CI 0.28-4.43). Severe placenta121inflammatory changes were associated with twice increased risk low birth122weight (OR 2.32, CI 0.26-17.22). But these were not statistically significant123(P=0.6,0.3 respectively)124Table 3 showed the relationship between fetal outcome and placenta	108	changes. Acute placenta inflammatory changes were observed in 123(64.1%) of
 with severe placenta changes 14(87.5%) were booked while 2(12.5%) were unbooked. Booking status was not significantly associated with placenta inflammatory changes(P=0.0926, OR 0.3, 95% CI 0.05-1.52).Booking status was also not significantly associated with severe placenta changes(P=0.1590, OR 0.2,95% CI 0.02-2.32). Among babies delivered 11(5.7%) had low birth weight while 94.3%(181) had normal birth weight. Among all babies born to mothers with severe placenta inflammatory changes (SPIC), 2(12.5%) were low birth weight while 16(87.5%) were not low birth weight. Other distributions are as showed in Table 2. Patients with placenta inflammatory changes have increased risk of having low birth weight babies (OR 1.07 CI 0.28-4.43). Severe placenta inflammatory changes were associated with twice increased risk low birth weight (OR 2.32, CI 0.26-17.22). But these were not statistically significant (P=0.6,0.3 respectively) Table 3 showed the relationship between fetal outcome and placenta 	109	all patients studied with 16(8.3%) having severe inflammatory changes. Among
 unbooked. Booking status was not significantly associated with placenta inflammatory changes(P=0.0926, OR 0.3, 95% CI 0.05-1.52).Booking status was also not significantly associated with severe placenta changes(P=0.1590, OR 0.2,95% CI 0.02-2.32). Among babies delivered 11(5.7%) had low birth weight while 94.3%(181) had normal birth weight. Among all babies born to mothers with severe placenta inflammatory changes (SPIC), 2(12.5%) were low birth weight while 16(87.5%) were not low birth weight. Other distributions are as showed in Table 2. Patients with placenta inflammatory changes have increased risk of having low birth weight babies (OR 1.07 CI 0.28-4.43). Severe placenta inflammatory changes were associated with twice increased risk low birth weight (OR 2.32, CI 0.26-17.22). But these were not statistically significant (P=0.6,0.3 respectively) Table 3 showed the relationship between fetal outcome and placenta 	110	the patients, 172(89.6%)were booked while 10.4% were unbooked. In patients
 inflammatory changes(P=0.0926, OR 0.3, 95% CI 0.05-1.52).Booking status was also not significantly associated with severe placenta changes(P=0.1590, OR 0.2,95% CI 0.02-2.32). Among babies delivered 11(5.7%) had low birth weight while 94.3%(181) had normal birth weight. Among all babies born to mothers with severe placenta inflammatory changes (SPIC), 2(12.5%) were low birth weight while 16(87.5%) were not low birth weight. Other distributions are as showed in Table 2. Patients with placenta inflammatory changes have increased risk of having low birth weight babies (OR 1.07 CI 0.28-4.43). Severe placenta inflammatory changes were associated with twice increased risk low birth weight (OR 2.32, CI 0.26-17.22). But these were not statistically significant (P=0.6,0.3 respectively) Table 3 showed the relationship between fetal outcome and placenta 	111	with severe placenta changes $14(87.5\%)$ were booked while $2(12.5\%)$ were
 also not significantly associated with severe placenta changes(P=0.1590, OR 0.2,95% CI 0.02-2.32). Among babies delivered 11(5.7%) had low birth weight while 94.3%(181) had normal birth weight. Among all babies born to mothers with severe placenta inflammatory changes (SPIC), 2(12.5%) were low birth weight while 16(87.5%) were not low birth weight. Other distributions are as showed in Table 2. Patients with placenta inflammatory changes have increased risk of having low birth weight babies (OR 1.07 CI 0.28-4.43). Severe placenta inflammatory changes were associated with twice increased risk low birth weight (OR 2.32, CI 0.26-17.22). But these were not statistically significant (P=0.6,0.3 respectively) Table 3 showed the relationship between fetal outcome and placenta 	112	unbooked. Booking status was not significantly associated with placenta
 0.2,95% CI 0.02-2.32). Among babies delivered 11(5.7%) had low birth weight while 94.3%(181) had normal birth weight. Among all babies born to mothers with severe placenta inflammatory changes (SPIC), 2(12.5%) were low birth weight while 16(87.5%) were not low birth weight. Other distributions are as showed in Table 2. Patients with placenta inflammatory changes have increased risk of having low birth weight babies (OR 1.07 CI 0.28-4.43). Severe placenta inflammatory changes were associated with twice increased risk low birth weight (OR 2.32, CI 0.26-17.22). But these were not statistically significant (P=0.6,0.3 respectively) Table 3 showed the relationship between fetal outcome and placenta 	113	inflammatory changes(P=0.0926, OR 0.3, 95% CI 0.05-1.52).Booking status was
 while 94.3%(181) had normal birth weight. Among all babies born to mothers with severe placenta inflammatory changes (SPIC), 2(12.5%) were low birth weight while 16(87.5%) were not low birth weight. Other distributions are as showed in Table 2. Patients with placenta inflammatory changes have increased risk of having low birth weight babies (OR 1.07 CI 0.28-4.43). Severe placenta inflammatory changes were associated with twice increased risk low birth weight (OR 2.32, CI 0.26-17.22). But these were not statistically significant (P=0.6,0.3 respectively) Table 3 showed the relationship between fetal outcome and placenta 	114	also not significantly associated with severe placenta changes(P=0.1590, OR
 with severe placenta inflammatory changes (SPIC), 2(12.5%) were low birth weight while 16(87.5%) were not low birth weight. Other distributions are as showed in Table 2. Patients with placenta inflammatory changes have increased risk of having low birth weight babies (OR 1.07 CI 0.28-4.43). Severe placenta inflammatory changes were associated with twice increased risk low birth weight (OR 2.32, CI 0.26-17.22). But these were not statistically significant (P=0.6,0.3 respectively) Table 3 showed the relationship between fetal outcome and placenta 	115	0.2,95% CI 0.02-2.32). Among babies delivered 11(5.7%) had low birth weight
 weight while 16(87.5%) were not low birth weight. Other distributions are as showed in Table 2. Patients with placenta inflammatory changes have increased risk of having low birth weight babies (OR 1.07 CI 0.28-4.43). Severe placenta inflammatory changes were associated with twice increased risk low birth weight (OR 2.32, CI 0.26-17.22). But these were not statistically significant (P=0.6,0.3 respectively) Table 3 showed the relationship between fetal outcome and placenta 	116	while 94.3%(181) had normal birth weight. Among all babies born to mothers
 showed in Table 2. Patients with placenta inflammatory changes have increased risk of having low birth weight babies (OR 1.07 CI 0.28-4.43). Severe placenta inflammatory changes were associated with twice increased risk low birth weight (OR 2.32, CI 0.26-17.22). But these were not statistically significant (P=0.6,0.3 respectively) Table 3 showed the relationship between fetal outcome and placenta 	117	with severe placenta inflammatory changes (SPIC), 2(12.5%) were low birth
 risk of having low birth weight babies (OR 1.07 CI 0.28-4.43). Severe placenta inflammatory changes were associated with twice increased risk low birth weight (OR 2.32, CI 0.26-17.22). But these were not statistically significant (P=0.6,0.3 respectively) Table 3 showed the relationship between fetal outcome and placenta 	118	weight while 16(87.5%) were not low birth weight. Other distributions are as
 inflammatory changes were associated with twice increased risk low birth weight (OR 2.32, CI 0.26-17.22). But these were not statistically significant (P=0.6,0.3 respectively) Table 3 showed the relationship between fetal outcome and placenta 	119	showed in Table 2. Patients with placenta inflammatory changes have increased
 weight (OR 2.32, CI 0.26-17.22). But these were not statistically significant (P=0.6,0.3 respectively) Table 3 showed the relationship between fetal outcome and placenta 	120	risk of having low birth weight babies (OR 1.07 CI 0.28-4.43). Severe placenta
 123 (P=0.6,0.3 respectively) 124 Table 3 showed the relationship between fetal outcome and placenta 	121	inflammatory changes were associated with twice increased risk low birth
124 Table 3 showed the relationship between fetal outcome and placenta	122	weight (OR 2.32, CI 0.26-17.22). But these were not statistically significant
	123	(P=0.6,0.3 respectively)
125 inflammatory changes. 143(74.5%) had no birth asphyxia while 49(25.5%) had	124	Table 3 showed the relationship between fetal outcome and placenta
	125	inflammatory changes. 143(74.5%) had no birth asphyxia while 49(25.5%) had

126	some form of birth asphyxia. Among those with severe placenta inflammatory
127	changes 12(75%) had some form of asphyxia while 4(25%) had no birth
128	asphyxia. Placenta inflammatory changes are significantly associated with birth
129	asphyxia (χ²=8.82, p =0.0029,0R 0.31 CI 0.31-0.73). Severe inflammatory
130	changes are significantly associated with severe birth asphyxia (P= 0.0008989).
131	Among the placenta examined, 189(98.4%) belong to babies that were alive
132	while 3(1.6%) were dead. Among the dead babies 2(66.7%) had severe
133	inflammatory changes while one had moderate inflammatory changes (33.3%)
134	Fetal demise was not significantly associated with general placenta
135	inflammatory changes (P= 0.2605), however severe placenta changes was
136	significantly associated with fetal demise (P=0.0336)
137	
138	Discussion
139	The average age of paturients was similar to what was observed in other areas in
140	Nigeria [14,15], which is the peak of the sexual and reproductive ages of the
141	patients studied. This study also noted that a large proportion of women with
142	inflammatory placenta changes are nulliparous which is in conformity with what
143	was observed by Baker et al, who identified that acute placenta infections
144	decrease with increasing parity [16]. The reason as postulated by Lagadari et al
145	[17] is the development of protective layer of macrophages between decidua and
146	trophoblastic layers as parity increased as demonstrated in rat models.
147	Acute placenta inflammatory changes (APIC) of varying degree was observed in
148	more than half of all patients' placentae examined with similar distribution of
149	placenta changes observed by Rhone et al [18] where about 50% of placenta
150	studied by his group identified changes consistent with inflammatory changes.

151	Variations in the prevalence rate of acute inflammatory changes are related to
152	the differences in tissue sampling techniques and diagnostic criteria.
153	APIC have a direct correlation to clinical chorioamnionitis, which is linked to
154	poor fetal outcome [19]. These observations brings to the front burner the need
155	to screen paturients for possible organisms that are linked to acute infections
156	such as bacterial vaginosis which is a known etiological factor for
157	chorioamnionitis and preterm membrane rupture.
158	The unskilled supervised deliveries (unbooked) have been associated with
159	increased risk of chorioamnionitis and puerperal sepsis due to some unhygienic
160	birth practices [20]. In this group of patients placenta inflammatory changes
161	was not associated with the booking status of the paturients; thus there may be
162	some other confounding factors which are not related to the booking status of
163	the patient that need to be unearthed.
164	Daniele et al and Gracia[19, 21] demonstrated the association between fetal
165	placenta inflammation and poor neonatal growth, which is as result of distortion
166	of placenta function. This observation thus agrees with the authors' findings,
167	which showed an increased risk of low birth weight in the presence of placenta
168	inflammatory changes with risk worsening with the severity of infection.
169	It was observed that, severe placenta inflammatory changes are associated with
170	poor fetal outcome such as asphyxia and even stillbirths [22,23]. The mechanism
171	by which this is made possible is via placenta damage with loss of function,
172	preterm labour, release of inflammatory mediators which result in fetal organ
173	damage and transplacental infection[5].The fetal inflammatory response
174	syndrome which is related to placenta infections had also be linked with the

- 175 development of cerebral palsy and the development of neurological deficits in
- the babies that survive the infectious onslaught [24].
- 177 Based on the above it is pertinent to know that placental inflammatory changes
- 178 being the hallmark of fetal infection is associated with poor fetal outcome in Port
- 179 Harcourt Nigeria. Hence it is imperative that steps be instituted to reduce the
- 180 risk of placenta infection among paturients by creating protocols for screening of
- 181 bacterial pathogens, reduce the factors that increase risk and possibly
- 182 prophylaxis therapy of all at risk patients.
- 183 Also a protocol of histological examination of placentae of stillborns and babies
- 184 with severe birth asphyxia is also recommended to eliminate the long-term
- 185 complications of infections related morbidities.
- 186 Conflict of interest: Nil
- 187 Acknowledgement: The authors thank the staff of the labour ward of the UPTH
- 188 for their dedication for assisting in the collection of the paturients placenta and
- also Dr Bassey Goddy for his contribution towards part of the analysis of the
- 190 data.
- 191 Ethical clearance was obtained from the ethics committee of the University of
- 192 Port Harcourt Teaching hospital before the commencement of the study.
- 193 References
- Gude NM, Roberts CT, Kalionis B, King RG. Growth and function of the
 normal human placenta. Thromb Res. 2004.114(5-6): 397-407
- 196 2. Guttmacher AE, Maddox YT, Spong CY. The human placenta project:
- 197 placenta structure, development and functions in real
- 198 time.Placenta.2014.35: 303-304

199	3. Hiller SL, Martins J, krohn M, Kiviat N, Holmes K, Eschenbach DA. A case
200	study control study of chorioamnionic infections and histological
201	chorioamnionitis in prematurity. N Engl J Med. 1988.319:972-78
202	4. Fahey JO. Clinical management of intramniotic infection and
203	chorioamnionitis: A review of the literature. J Midwifery women Health.
204	2008.53(3): 227-35.
205	5. Redline RW. Placenta inflammation. Semin Neonatal. 2004.9(4): 265-74
206	6. Benirscheke K, Ceon R, Patterson B, Key T. Villitis of known origin:
207	varicella and toxoplasma. Placenta. 1999.20(5-6): 395-99
208	7. Alan TNT, Williams WA. Diagnosis and treatment of clinical
209	chorioamnionitis. Clin Perinatal. 2010.37(2): 339-54.
210	8. Sasha T, Funsun G, Edward KC, Silvia D, Sumona S. Placental
211	inflammation is not increased in inflammatory bowel disease. Ann
212	gastroenterol.2015.28: 456-63
213	9. Yoon BH, Romero R, Moon JB, Shim SS, Kim M, Kim G et al. Clinical
214	significance of intramniotic inflammation in patients with preterm labour
215	and intact membranes. Am J Obstet Gynaecol.2001.185 (5): 1130-6
216	10. Dong Y, St clair PJ, Ramsy I, Kagan-Hallet KS, Gibbs RS. A microbiologic
217	and clinical study of placenta inflammation at term. Obstet
218	Gynaecol.1987. 70(2): 175-82.
219	11. Redline RW. Inflammatory response in the placenta and umbilical cord.
220	Semin Fetal Neonatal Med. 2006.11(5): 296-301.
221	12. Goldenberg RL, Thompson C. The infection origin of stillbirth. Am J Obstet
222	Gynaecol.2003. 189(3): 861-73.

223	13. Rajeer M, Shakuntala N, Susan S, Ann P. Neonatal morbidity and placenta
224	pathology. India J Paediatrics. 2006.73:25-28.
225	14. Fawowe AA, Durowade KA. Pregnancy outcome among women who
226	delivered in a secondary care hospital in Ondo Nigeria. Niger J Gen Pract.
227	2012.10(1): 29-33
228	15. Ugwe EA. Maternal anthropometric characteristics as determinants of
229	birth weight in North- West Nigeria: A prospective study. Niger J Basic
230	Clin Sci.2014. 11(1): 8-12.
231	16. Baker AM, Braun JM, Salafia CM, Herrig AH. Uteroplacental vascular
232	compromise and inflammation.Am J Obstet Gynaecol.2008. 199(3):
233	255.e1-25e9.dio: 10.1016/J.ajog.2008.06.055 last accessed 22 nd February
234	2016.
235	17. Lagadari M, Blois S, Margni R, Miranda S. Analysis of macrophage
236	presence in murine placenta influence on age and parity status. AJRI.
237	2004. 51:49-55
238	18. Rhone SA, Magee F, Remple V, Miney D. The association of placenta
239	abnormalities with maternal and neonatal clinical findings: a
240	retrospective cohort study. J Obstet Gynaecol Can. 2003.25(2): 123-8.
241	19. Daniele T, Caria P, Francesco C, Stefanic V, Erich C, Silva et al. Fetal
242	placenta inflammation is associated with poor neonatal growth of
243	preterm infants: a case -control study. J Maternal fetal neonatal Med.2013.
244	26(15) doi 10.3109/14767058.2013.789849.last accessed 21st February
245	2016.

246	20. Ononuju CN, Nyengidiki TK, Ugboma HAA, Bassey G. Risk factors and
247	antibiogram of organisms causing puerperal sepsis in a tertiary health
248	facility in Nigeria. Trop J Obstet Gynaecol. 2015.32(2): 73-82
249	21. Gracia AG. Placenta morphology of low birth weight infants at term.
250	Contrib Gynaecol Obstet. 1982.9:100-12.
251	22. Beebe LA, Cowan LD, Attshuler G. The epidermiology of placenta features
252	associated with gestational age and neonatal outcome. Obstet Gynaecol.
253	1996.87(5pt1): 771-8.
254	23. Pinar B, Goldenberg RL, Koch MA, Heim –Hall J, Hawkins HK, Shahata B.
255	Placenta findings in singleton stillbirths. Obstet Gynaecol.2014.123
256	(2p+1): 321-26.
257	24. Bashiri A, Burstein E, Mazor M. Cerebral palsy and fetal inflammatory
258	response syndrome: a review. J Perinat Med.2006.34 (1): 5-12.
250	m.l.l.

- 259 260 261 262 Tables

61 Table 1:Sociodemographic characteristics of patie	nts
--	-----

Variables	Frequency	Percentage
Age		
15-24	16	8.3
25-34	133	69.3
35-44	42	21.9
45-54	1	0.5
Parity		
0	141	73.4
1	21	10.9
2 3	21	10.9
	6	3.1
4	3	1.6
Educational status		
-Primary	2	1.0
-Secondary	46	24.0
-Tertiary	144	75.0

Booking status		
-booked	179	93.2
-unbooked	13	6.8

263

264 Table 2: Relation of placenta inflammatory changes to booking status and birth

weight.

266

	Placenta							
	+	++	+++	0	Total			
	Mild	Moderate	Severe	Nil	n(%)			
Booking status								
-booked	58	40	14	67	179(89.6)			
-unbooked	5	4	2	2	13 (10.4)			
Total	63	44	16	69	192(100)			
Fetal weight (kg)								
1.5-2.4	4	2	2	4	11(5.7)			
2.5-3.4	42	30	8	42	118(61.5)			
3.5-4.4	22	11	6	22	61(31.8)			
4.5-5.4	1	1	0	1	2(1.0)			
Total	69	44	16	69	192(100)			

267

268 Table 3: Placenta histology and fetal outcome

269

	Placenta inflammatory changes						
		+	++	+++	Total		
	Nil	mild	moderate	severe	n(%)		
Birth Asphyxia							
Nil	60	49	30	4	143(74.5)		
Mild	6	11	5	1	23(12.0)		
Moderate	3	3	8	7	21(10.9)		
Severe	0	0	1	4	5(2.6)		
Total	69	63	44	16	192(100)		
Fetal outcome							
Alive	69	63	43	14	189(98.4)		
Dead	0	0	1	2	3(1.6)		
Total	69	63	44	16	192		

270