



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_29797
Title of the Manuscript:	“Public’s attitude toward organ donation in Egypt: A social and conceptual approach”
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Introduction and Discussion sections are too lengthy; it needs to be truncated and kept to points which pertain to the specific aspects of this study itself.	Done
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Several minor spelling errors scattered throughout the manuscript (especially in discussion section) which need correction.	Done. Proofread and typos and spelling mistakes corrected.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This is a study with fairly rigorous methodology. The authors have obviously looked into the subject matter in depth as well and are well aware of international & regional findings of other similar studies.	