



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<b><u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u></b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_BJMMR_29518</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A simple method to forecast future bed requirements: a pragmatic alternative to queuing theory</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	Article is good and well written methodology and appropriate analysis was done, for <b>forecast future bed requirements and analysis is done on the basis of bed occupancy but I feel here we should also consider growth rate and disease prevalence of the area, so if we take all in consideration than we can predict better future requirement of beds.</b>	A new section has been added at the end to address these issues.
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		