



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<b><u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u></b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_BJMMR_29355</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Is the weekend effect in hospital mortality real, or is a fundamental weekly cycle in adult blood biochemistry, health and death a contributory factor?</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<b>Ethical Issues- It is a retrospective study. Based on hospital records.</b>	The need for ethical approval was checked using the on-line tool provided by the NHS Health Research Authority (ENGLAND), SEE <a href="http://www.hra-decisiontools.org.uk/ethics/">http://www.hra-decisiontools.org.uk/ethics/</a> .  This statement has been added to the Ethical Approval section.
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	You must better explain the statistical treatment of work. Sort the different sections and be more objective in their findings and conclusions.	Comments added to the statistical section in the methods.
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	I believe that the wording of the job is too large, it should be restructured in accordance with international standards of writing. It should be clearer in his comments. The author argues an alternative explanation of the phenomenon of increased mortality weekend, however from a scientific point of view is possible only argue for a possible relationship	We have specifically chosen BJMMR to publish this research due to there being no restriction on length, and their willingness to accept studies with a wider brief than is usual.  Wording regarding explanation for weekend mortality has been changed.