



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<b><u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u></b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_BJMMR_28835</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Accuracy of Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) in Detecting Breast Tumors</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>(1) Introduction is too long and should be shortened.</p> <p>(2) Results should be separated from tables which can be added in the end.</p> <p>(3) Discussion needs to be rewritten as authors have not discussed the financial aspect of MRI vs. other imaging modalities.</p> <p>(4) Please discuss if DCE-MRI can avoid trucut biopsy on lesions for diagnostic purposes.</p>	<p>(1) Introduction was shortened as shown in [Page 1-line 10] and [Page 2-Line 45].</p> <p>(2) The authors follow the "Author's Instruction" as requested by the Journal [<a href="http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/12/authors-instruction">http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/12/authors-instruction</a>].</p> <p>(3) Financial aspect of MRI Vs. other imaging modalities was added to the discussion section [Page 7-Lines 219 and 220].</p> <p>(4) DCE-MRI imaging has high negative predictive value in excluding breast cancer, so it plays a role in the evaluation of selected clinical and imaging findings of the breast, especially when biopsy is not technically feasible [Page 6- Lines 204 to 206].</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Grammatical corrections and language.	Grammar and language corrections were added.



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>	<p>(1) Authors should discuss the pros and cons or use of DCE-MRI in all high-risk patients and provide a critical reasoning.</p> <p>(2) Authors should tabulate the ideal MRI protocol for best assessment of breast lesions.</p> <p>(3) Please specify what new information is available from this study and how does it advance our present understanding of the subject.</p>	<p>(1) The pros and cons or use of DCE-MRI in all high-risk patients was added to the discussion section [Page 6 and 7-Lines 204 to 220].</p> <p>(2) An ideal MRI protocol for breast assessment of breast lesions was added to “Material and Method” section [Breast MRI protocols; Page 3-Lines 86 to 100] and [MRI image interpretation and criteria for evaluating the presence of breast lesions; Page 3-Lines 104 to 115].</p> <p>(3) New information and how does it advance our present understanding were added in [Page 6 and 7-Lines 212 to 219].</p>
--	--	--