



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_28835
Title of the Manuscript:	Accuracy of Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) in Detecting Breast Tumors
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>This article needs a major revision from a native English. There are spelling mistakes as well as concept ones. Here, I point out some examples:</p> <p>Pg 1, 2nd paragraph, line 20: follow up instead of flow up.</p> <p>Pg1, lines 20 and 21: "how the breast normally feels and look ... provide evidence of harm".</p> <p>Pg 2, line 32: diagnosing breast tumors and breast cancer.</p> <p>Pg 2, line 33: "reported high false negative" and in line 35 "unnecessary diagnostic tests". In my point of view, the authors meant to say that there are a lot of false positive diagnosis that result in unnecessary biopsies.</p>	<p>Major revision from a native English Language speaker was done as follows:</p> <p>(1) Follow up instead of flow up [Page 1- Line 19].</p> <p>(2) Instead of "how the breast normally feels and look....provides evidence of harm" authors replace it by "Regular breast self-exam (BSE), can be an important way to find a breast cancer early, when it's more likely to be treated successfully". [Page 1-Lines 20 to 21].</p> <p>(3) Instead of "diagnosing breast tumors and breast cancer" it was changed to "...and diagnosing breast cancer". [Page 2- Line 31].</p> <p>(4) Instead of "reported high false negative" and "unnecessary diagnostic tests", authors rewrite it as: "In diagnosing breast abnormalities and tumors, there is a need for a specific diagnostic modality to reach an accurate diagnosis of these abnormalities, such as mammography,</p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>Pg 2, line 48: the authors should insert "dynamic contrast enhancement magnetic resonance imaging" before DCE-MRI.</p> <p>Patient samples: it is not described the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Also, in this section it is written "age range between 15-77 years".</p> <p>Results: it is written " age between 15-78 years old".</p> <p>There is a mismatch between the aims of the study and conclusion.</p>	<p>which is an effective means of detecting and diagnosing breast cancer. It decreases breast cancer mortality by 1/3 when used as screening, however, reported high false negative from (4%-34%) [6]". [Page 1 and page 2- Lines 29 to 32].</p> <p>(5) "...dynamic contrast enhanced MRI (DCE-MRI)..." was added as shown in Page 2- Line 40 to 41].</p> <p>(6) The inclusion and exclusion criteria were added to the "Material and Methods" section in [Page 2- Line 54 to 58]. The age range was altered to 15-78 years rather than 15-77 years [Page 2- Line 53].</p> <p>(7) A mismatch between aims of study and conclusion was removed, as presented in [Page 1- Abstract section], [Page 2- Lines 44 to 45], and [Page 6- Lines 165 to 167].</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		-
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		-