



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_BJMMR_27439</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>ASSESSING CLUBFOOT SEVERITY AND MONITORING TREATMENT PROGRESS USING THE PIRANI SCORING SYSTEM</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<b>This is good research. However, the methodology should be repaired related to bias.</b>	This has been reviewed. All the subjects had the same protocol of treatment which involved weekly manipulations and casting followed by +/-tenotomy and then bracing.
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	Correction should be done in terms of number of samples, person who did the procedure, and homogeneity of age when the procedure was performed. It is important to state that procedure was done by an experienced person in treating clubfoot.	All the corrections as regard these important comments have been effected.
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		