



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_BJMMR_27042</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of environmental factors, lifestyle, lipid profile and previous medical conditions on semen quality in male partners of infertile couples; evidence from Kumasi metropolis</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Short Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>Please analyses your data with other tests than chi-square analysis. To me, it had better to find a relationship among parameters by using correlation or regression analysis. This should be done in order to ensure that your statistical results has high power of strength. This issue is critical.</p> <p>Please carefully check the uniformity of references cited in the text and also in the reference list...they are not in the same format.</p>	<p><b>Thanks for the comments. Now as you requested.</b></p> <p>We have now used correlation and regression to test the associations as commented.</p> <p>The specific changes are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. In tables 1, 2 and 4, we used <a href="#">linear regression</a> and interpreted with <a href="#">Beta=regression coefficient</a>.</li> <li>2. In table 3 used spearman and Pearson correlation based on the normality of the outcome variable</li> </ol>
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	The experiment is well designed and the manuscript is well written.	