



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_25685
Title of the Manuscript:	An Appraisal of Awareness and Practice of Modern Contraception among Prenatal Clinic Attendees in Southern, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Sample size: The calculated one was 289. What was the power used in the calculation? What was the power used that gave the sample size of 701? 2. Sampling technique: What do you mean by "simple consecutive recruitment"? Do you take all eligible respondents in the prenatal clinic? Were they selected randomly? 3. Results: What do you mean by "modal age" (line 146, pg 6) 4. Please label Figure 1 accordingly with the figure title, x and y axis 5. Table 1 is not clearly presented. Some gave row percentage and some gave column percentage. Please standardise. The "N" row under marital status is not needed there. What is "RR"? Relative risk? If this is a cross sectional study, then using the odds ratio would be more appropriate. Please write in full all abbreviations used first time. 6. Line 158-160 pg 8: Which mean and range are you referring to? is it the mean number of premarital pregnancies? Please clarify. 7. Table 2: The n for contraceptive user and non-user can be written in the table header to avoid repeating in the rows. 8. Figure 3: "desirous"- wrong spelling? 9. Table 4: The p-value for premarital terminations should be written as <0.001 because it cannot have zero value. 10. Line 299, page 16. From the data, only about 7% 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The sample size(289) was calculated using the stated and referenced formula from prevalence of 25 %(0.25)(referenced) & precision 5 %,as a proportion of 1(0.05) while the sample size of 701 precision used was 3.2 % ,as a proportion of 1(0,032). 2. The prenatal clinic attendees were counselled on the study as they presented during the clinic sessions and those that consented were recruited. We did not take all the eligible ones. We stopped recruiting when the calculated sample size was gotten after many clinic sessions for a duration of 8 months. 3. Most frequent age (now changed in the text to mode). 4. Figure 1 labelling corrected. 5. Table 1 is corrected. Only column percentage used. 'Relative Risk' spelt out as highlighted. 6. The mean and range of premarital pregnancies. This corrected as highlighted. 7.....n is removed from row. 8.spelling of 'desirous' crosschecked 9.The p-value corrected to <0.001 as highlighted



SDI Review Form 1.6

	discontinue contraception for religious reason, therefore, the statement that religious beliefs influence contraception decision making is not quite right.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Sentence structure need to be improved in 2.3, 2.4, 2.5.1. Please write a full sentence to improve meanings, eg. "The study population was pregnant women...."2. Review the exclusion criteria as it is repeating the same thing in the inclusion criteria3. Tables need to follow standard format for the journal	The sentences have been corrected and the tables revised.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		