

Editor's comments:

In the methodology section, it is not clear what was the number used (in page 1 line 9 authors mentioned that they used 3 patients, but in page 3 line 57 they mentioned that 45 patients and they used their inclusion criteria but did not mention clearly what was the number used in the study

There are several typographical errors and grammar that needs correction throughout the text

Authors' feedback:

Thank you for the comment. We do agree that the material were reported in a slightly misleading way, and we addressed the issue by adding an explicative sentence in the Intruduction section (lines 54-56). In fact we implemented our photogrammetric analysis in 45 consecutive cases but we presented pictures and data of only three representative cases.