



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_25350
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of soft tissue profile change following bi-maxillary surgery in dento-skeletal class III by photogrammetric analysis.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Thank you for letting me review this interesting clinical study, entitled" Evaluation of soft tissue profile change following bi-maxillary surgery in dento-skeletal class III by photogrammetric analysis".</p> <p>In general, the article is well written and would draw good attention from the readers of this journal.</p> <p>I have just had some minor inquiries, as below, for minor revisions.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The clinical descriptions (distributions in age, gender,,,,) on the fortyfive patients as well as the operative details (amount of skeletal changes in maxilla and mandible for correction referring to some orthognathic points and the method of surgery applied) should be summarized who underwent bimaxillary surgery of dvancement/retrusion of the jaws for correction of class III (twenty four) and class II (twenty one) dento-skeletal malformation • The approval of the ethics committee (IRB) should be mentioned, as this is a clinical study on human subjects. • Some typographical and grammatical errors should be revised. Only in the abstract, there are some errors for revision. Ex. The half space should be added in line 9, page 1. Pre-op and post-op should be spelled out in line 11, page 1. • All the figures are very unclear, and should be replaced. 	<p>Issues on material and methods have been addressed by rearranging the M&M first section adding the required information.</p> <p>All grammar and spelling of the manuscript have been reviewed.</p> <p>I'm sorry but the PC was demaged and we lost other figures. Now it is not possible to change the Figures quality.</p>
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		