



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_25350
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of soft tissue profile change following bi-maxillary surgery in dento-skeletal class III by photogrammetric analysis.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Line 56: Materials and methods: The protocol does not indicate the period of study. It was only mentioned that forty five patients ("subjects") were selected. There is need to clarify how the subjects were recruited and, possibly, justify the sample size.	The comment has been addressed by adding the required information at the beginning of Materials and methods. Specifically the subjects were recruited consecutively, as the photogrammetry became part of our standard preoperative assessment protocol for orthognathic patients since 2011. The sample size was determined based on our inclusion criteria, in particular were not considered patients with severe asymmetry or vertical and transversal discrepancies.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 14: "...possibility to DRAW reliable dissipation..." Line 17: "...outcomes of patients with dento-skeletal malformations..." Line 37: Did you mean cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) instead of CTBC? If no, then there is a need to give the full meaning of the abbreviation, CTBC.	Grammar and speling have been reviewed. CTBC was a typo and was replaced with CBCT.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This was a well thought out and clearly presented study.	