



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_25208
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of acute placenta inflammatory changes on fetal outcome among paturients in Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It is a cross sectional study NOT prospective 2. Mention clearly in methodology----- <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Total number of cases recruited b. IUGR cases were included or excluded c. Cases with antenatal infections included or not and their investigations d. Cases with clinical chorioamnionitis or PROM included or not. 3. Numerical discrepancies— Cases with mild inflammation are 69 in Table 2 (association with fetal weight) and 63 elsewhere. 4. As per line 158, unbooked cases had unskilled supervised delivery. Was that so in a teaching hospital? “Unbooked” usually refers to cases who did not get antenatal care but come for institutional delivery 5. Add reference of pathological classification of inflammation used in the study. 	<p>Prospective removed from the article- line 12 and line 74</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Number of cases -192 line 77 b. IUGR, c. antenatal infections were excluded from the study d. clinical chorioamnionitis /prom were included in the study <p>3. Error corrected /reconciled in table 2</p> <p>Unbooked patients in the context of the centre of practice are those patients who had care outside the teaching Hospital and other government recognized health facilities. These patients present from Traditional birth attendants, maternity homes etc run by unskilled birth attendants to deliver in the Teaching Hospital after attempts at labour outside.</p> <p>Reference 14 added- lines 106 and 310.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Line 65- impact instead of impart</p> <p>Line 114- severe inflammatory changes</p>	<p>Impart changed to impact line 69</p> <p>Severe inflammatory changes – changed to placenta inflammatory changes. line 138-39</p>
Optional/General comments	<p>Concept is good</p> <p>Correlation with fetal outcome can be made only after all confounders are eliminated</p>	<p>The authors had tried to exclude all possible confounders in their exclusion criteria in the study.</p>