



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_24767
Title of the Manuscript:	RELATIONSHIP OF PARENTAL KNOWLEDGE AND ATTITUDE WITH ORAL HEALTH STATUS OF CHILDREN IN KARACHI
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Introduction: improve the justification of this study.</p> <p>Methodology: Sample size calculation. Descriptive study (and the test?) Ethical considerations</p> <p>Results: It's not clear the association.</p> <p>Discussion: Improve References: Update</p> <p>Ethical issue: Human Subject Research</p>	<p>Introduction has been justified and study goals resynthesized.</p> <p>Sample size calculation mentioned in methodology.</p> <p>The authors declare that the research was approved by Ethical Review Committee of Bahria University. The statement of ethics is attached herewith. The research was questionnaire based and did not pose any harm to subjects.</p> <p>Chi-square test performed and relation with Education and socioeconomic level justified in discussion. No significant relation was seen with socioeconomic status that's why it was not included in discussion.</p> <p>References edited according to journal's guidelines</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		