



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	BritishJournalofMedicineandMedicalResearch
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_24279
Title of the Manuscript:	FANCONI ANEMIA GENES AND REACTIVE OXYGEN SPECIES IN CANCER DEVELOPMENT
Type of the Article	Review paper

GeneralguidelineforPeerReviewprocess:

This journal's peer review policy states that NO manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lackofNovelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The author names are not displayed according to the Journal policy. The way the subject is displayed using the expressions " we, our" denotes that it should be a common author (same group) between references: 5, 6, 9, 10, 11 & 12. If this is the case, it's fine. If not, the whole review has to be reformulated</p> <p>Review articles usually consist of abstract and main manuscript with no discussion. Unless this is the system of the Journal it needs re-formulation.</p>	OK
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The English language needs stringent revision; I made some but it still has to be edited	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		