



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_23758
Title of the Manuscript:	TO COMPARE THE PURSUANCE OF ULTRASONIC ACTIVATION AT DISTINCT PLANES OF ENODONTIC THERAPY ON FILLING SUPERIORITY OF DIFFERENT ROOT CANAL SEALERS.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Generally OK, although some grammar and word choices need to be improved. Improve the layout The discussion should be more developed	The grammar corrections and word choices were improved and discussion is developed.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		