



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_22887
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge, attitude and practices concerning cosmetic surgery among female medical students at the University Hospital, King Saud University, Riyadh, SA
Type of the Article	Medical report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>This article is the interesting report on the knowledge, attitude and practices of cosmetic surgery of female medical students.</p> <p>This paper has worth of publication after several minor revises.</p> <p>1, I want to know that these students have a lecture for cosmetic surgery or not. If they have, at what academic year they always have it.</p> <p>2, Are there any differences between students in each academic year, as to detail and accuracy of knowledge for cosmetic surgery?</p> <p>I will require the author to describe about these two points.</p>	<p>YES, THEY TOOK A LECTURE ON THEIR 6th YEAR.</p> <p>YES, THEY WERE FROM DIFFERENT LEVELS RANGING FROM 1ST – 6TH YEAR, THAT'S MAY EXPLAIN ACCURACY AND KNOWLEDGE FOR COSMETIC SURGERY.</p>
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		