



**SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1**

**PART 1:**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJMMR_18578
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of Anti-HBcore and HBsAg among health care workers in Public Hospitals, White Nile State, Sudan; 2013
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**PART 2:**

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The language of the paper is hardly improved. The paper is hardly changed after the revision</li> <li>2. Line 50, when the authors add definition for HBV infection and carrier, it needs to be in a proper place in the article instead of putting it at the end of introduction</li> <li>3. The authors did not explain what is the pre-tested structured questionnaire</li> <li>4. Though the paper aimed to study the prevalence of HBV among HCW, the risk factors are useful information and should be examined especially when the variables are available</li> <li>5. The discussion is not improved at all. The comparison to general population and other studies is inadequate. The limitations are not discussed</li> <li>6. Table 1. Why did the authors choose 0.5 as test probability?</li> </ol>	<p>Points 1, 2, 3, 5 and 6 were considered Regarding point 4: The risk factors can be considered in a separate manuscript.</p> <p>6. Since that the accurate data about HBV infection in the state is not available, the authors assumed that 50% of HCWs theoretically infected. Therefore they tested the observed value against this hypothetical value</p>