



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJMMR_18578
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of Anti-HBcore and HBsAg among health care workers in Public Hospitals, White Nile State, Sudan; 2013
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Introduction should be organised. Result also should be organised. If author add more information in discussion, the manuscript will have more epidemiological value. Author should organise References according the journal specification.	Points were considered and the required correction was done.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Should delete e from HbsAge in the result section.	Point was considered and the required correction was done.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The study was an observational hospital based study in Public Hospitals in White Nile State, Sudan. It is one of the high endemic countries in the world. So high prevalence of both Anti-HBcore and HBsAg is very common that reflect within health care worker.	