



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_20348
Title of the Manuscript:	KNOWLEDGE AND ATTITUDE OF MALE STAFF IN A NIGERIAN TERTIARY INSTITUTION TOWARDS INFANT FEEDING
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>6 – This was a cross-sectional study, hence, conclusion and suggestion cannot be generalised. "... that male partners ...". Instead, the suggestion should be directed towards the selected category for the study – males working the university. This applies to Line 230 too.</p> <p>56 – How did the authors come up with the scales on knowledge and attitude? If there were adopted or adapted, authors should indicate the source.</p> <p>70 – Authors should report only the outstanding figures in terms of the biggest and smallest figures in the write-up – since the table has already been referred to. For instance, all percentages were reported with regards to age – only 8.8% for 20-29 years and 37.6 years for 40-49 years should be mentioned.</p> <p>114 – Authors should number knowledge items. This applies to Table 3 too.</p> <p>156 – It will be helpful if authors can indicate some limitations of this study. This can be done at the end of the discussion</p>	<p>This comment is well noted and it has been effected</p> <p>The scales used were adapted from previous studies. The source has been referenced</p> <p>This has been addressed</p> <p>The limitation of the study has been included into the manuscript</p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Proofreading will be required before publication. For example, in Line 6, "The aim of this study was to assessed knowledge" . There are a number of them on the manuscript.	Noted
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	143 – Remove figure border, figure title should be below.	Effectuated
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		