



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_33659
Title of the Manuscript:	Mathematical Model of Drinking Epidemic
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The topic of research paper is interesting and results of the paper are useful for society. The analysis of paper contains major mistakes which must be corrected in the revised paper. These are as follows: 1. The initial conditions of the mathematical model have not given in the paper. It must be corrected in the revised paper. 2. The line 103 is incomplete. In which region, system is always positive, has not given in the paper. It must be corrected in the paper. 3. In section 3.1, (1,0,0,0) is not trivial equilibrium point and also value of E_0 is wrong according to table 1. Further calculations become wrong. It must be corrected in the revised paper. 4. Equation 11 is wrong according to equilibrium point E^*. It must be corrected in the revised paper. 5. This paper contains many typing and grammatical mistakes (see line 9,82,83 etc). It must be corrected in the revised paper.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rachana Pathak
Department, University & Country	Department of Mathematics, University of Lucknow, India