



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_29015
Title of the Manuscript:	The Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) and its implications to the utilization of mobile learning technologies
Type of the Article	Review article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The article is review article and the contribution of the author is very weak. I advice the author to add more information about the use of wireless networks in the education like 5G, INTERNET OF THINGS. I miss the reference for “attitude toward use (ATU)” line 181. To add more detail about the m-leaning devices and tools\ apps. The conclusion is to short for review article and the References are few. Clarify the plagiarism: It review article and to avoid plagiarism should be added all references.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jama Raiyn
Department, University & Country	Computer Science Department, Al-Qasemi, Academic College of Education, Baqa Al Qarbiah, Israel