



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_33615
Title of the Manuscript:	Text Summarization versus CHI for Feature Selection in Arabic Text Classification
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Line 18, define kNN, NB, SVM. You defined SVM in line 29 but you use it beforehand in line 18. Line 30 and abstract – You mention CHI but never define what it is stand for. Define it as you define SVM in line 29. Line 42, same as line 18 define GHI, IG, TF....DF and all the one who are not explained. Lines : 46, 48, ...	Noted and done Noted and done TF,DF, IG are already defined in line 23,24,and 25 respectively. Others are added to the paper in yellow
Optional/General comments	Possible to provide comparison graph of each category (Economy, Politics, Religion, and Sport). It will be nice to see the performance at each section. Add another graph in the optional section	It is provided as Table2 and figure 4