



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_32697
Title of the Manuscript:	A Literature Study On Traditional Clustering Algorithms For Uncertain Data
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:
(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Although this article presents a literature review, it is still desired that the authors can have their opinions after the literature review. The authors may discuss the future study about the clustering algorithms with uncertain data. Only reviewing some previous studies are not sufficient. The manuscript has 31 pages. Reading it costs long time	Thanks to Reviewer. Future study about the clustering algorithms with uncertain data is added after conclusions Since it is detailed study leading to PhD thesis part work, it is necessary
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The 718th line seems to be mistyped.	<u>Corrected</u>
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	NA	