



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_31225
Title of the Manuscript:	Conflict Resolution for Sacramento-San-Joaquin Delta with Stability and Sensitivity Analyses Using the Graph Model
Type of Article:	Original research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
- From L 46 to L 77 various studies are mentioned. It would be useful to specify what each contributes to the model developed for the manuscript. It is not enough just to express the descriptors of each reference. - L 68. Kassab reference should be written properly, is it 2006a? - L 108. Make the appointment with the final reference match: Lund et al. (2008) - L 199. DISCUSSION. Tot elaborate a discussion to explain if the theoretical and the empirical are congruent is necessary.	The references are actually generic and were confused to be placed in the manuscript. The were discussing different studies with different water and environment subject, and were not relevant to this paper. Therefore, they were eliminated. completed, please see line 52. changed see line 227. Please see line 180-181.