



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_31225
Title of the Manuscript:	Conflict Resolution for Sacramento-San-Joaquin Delta with Stability and Sensitivity Analyses Using the Graph Model
Type of the Article	Original research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	From L 46 to L 77 various studies are mentioned. It would be useful to specify what each contributes to the model developed for the manuscript. It is not enough just to express the descriptors of each reference. L 199. A section entitled DISCUSSION should be included. Here it would have to consider what is required above on L 46 to L 77, and, in this section, to make a discourse that shows whether what is said in L 46 to L 77 is confirmed or refuted. Depending on what is said in DISCUSSION, the conclusions should be re-worked. Plagiarism issue: One of the following three publications. The copy is not literal. http://dpannell.fnas.uwa.edu.au/dpap971f.htm doi=10.1.1.138.2983&rep=rep1&type=pdf www.atlantis-press.com/php/download_paper.php?id=25852601	Reply: Thank you for comments 1- Literature review just to mention that no previous study in the literature and Sacramento-San-Joaquin Delta had considered using DSS to solve such conflict. This also shows that our study is the first one to address such important issue using the Graph Model. 2- In this study,



SDI Review Form 1.6

		description of how the model utilized for the study area is considered in the Decision Support System (DSS) Implementation. This would clearly enhance the understanding of how the conflict was approached and implemented, and would also reduce the gap between model theory and implementation.
Minor REVISION comments	- L 68. Both references mentioned should be written properly - L 118. The 2009 reference does not match the list - L 123. The first of the two quotations is not in the list	Reply: corrected Reply: corrected Reply: Corrected
Optional/General comments	It is a work similar to some others, but that does not bring novelty. It is an application without calculations. Attitudes and their quantification, costs, etc. could be appreciated. It can deepen "Sensitivity analysis" using the following information: http://dpannell.fnas.uwa.edu.au/dpap971f.htm It is strange that the following paper is not mentioned, as it is very similar to the one presented: http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.138.2983&rep=rep1&type=pdf Or this more complete, which combines the two previous references: www.atlantis-press.com/php/download_paper.php?id=25852601	