



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_30869
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of a repairable k-out-of-n:G system with expert repairman's multiple vacations and shut off rule
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In the paper, there are many problems of confusion and lack of clarity in the paper; the graphic design expression is not reasonable enough; the practical significance of the research is not great.	Following your suggestion, we have rewritten the paragraph 1 of Section 1, the paragraph 2 of Section 3, and the example 1 of Section 7. Revised portion are marked in yellow in our revised manuscript.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		