



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_30783
Title of the Manuscript:	Generation of Checkered Patterns and Their Variations by Making Use of Eulerian Graph Features
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This thesis is a clear, concise, and well-written Variety of pictures of checkered pattern graphics appear on the Internet. However, rarely seen is how those graphics were produced. The introduction is relevant and theory based. Sufficient information about the previous study findings is presented for readers to follow the present study. Perhaps the primary challenge of this manuscript for Generation of Checkered Patterns and Their Variations by Making Use of Eulerian Graph Features is how to make its orientation fit more explicitly with issues. The authors make a systematic contribution to the research literature in this area of investigation.	Question: Does the author need to do some revision by this reviewer's comment? Thank you very much for the comments, anyway.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		