



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_30609
Title of the Manuscript:	Simulation of Minimum Path Estimation in Software Defined Networking using Mininet Emulator
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. This manuscript recommends that Dijkstra's algorithm outperforms the other algorithms, and doesn't give a reason for adopting Bellman-Ford algorithm. 2. This manuscript is lack of comparison with other routing algorithm. 3. Bellman-Ford algorithm is a classical shortest path algorithm, the manuscript implements the algorithm in Software-Defined Networking and gives the experimental result of the Bellman-Ford algorithm, which is lack of new ideas. 4. The proposed system is not compared (in terms of performance and robustness) with the existing routing schemes.	This paper implements Bellman Ford algorithm and future works includes implementation of other algorithms for shortest path computation.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Add some of the most important quantitative results to the Abstract 2. In the last paragraph of the Introduction, the authors should clearly mention the weaknesses point of former works (identification of the gaps) and describe the novelties of current investigation to justify us the paper.	Former works has been added in related works.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		