



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_30543
Title of the Manuscript:	Trigonometric Solutions of the Lane-Emden Equation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	There are some design errors in manuscript such as $m=0$, $x=0$. These are designed and written well together with prose. Also, manuscript is very short.	I do not think there is a confusion between $m=0,1,5$ (power of $y(x)$) and $x=0$ (variable). Here is change made with respect to reviewer comments. One of the main problems with the LE equation is the singularity at $x = 0$... is replaced with One of the main problems with the LE equation is the singularity occurring at zero... I also made two corrections made by me inadvertently. The first one is in the abstract $n=0,1$ is replaced by $m=0,1$. The second one is in the second proof of Theorem 2.4: reference [8] is replaced by [7]. Yes, manuscript is very short. I like writing short articles.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Manuscript is very easy and apprehensible. Results are true.	I thank you for these comments.