



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_29015
Title of the Manuscript:	The Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) and its implications to the utilization of mobile learning technologies
Type of the Article	Review article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	• The article is review article and the contribution of the author is very weak. I advice the author to add more information about the use of wireless networks in the education like 5G, INTERNET OF THINGS. • I miss the reference for “attitude toward use (ATU)” line 181. • To add more detail about the m-leaning devices and tools\ apps. • The conclusion is to short for review article and the References are few. • Clarify this: It review article and to avoid plagiarism should be added all references.	• The contribution of the author has been captured from line 145-244. 5G technologies are yet to be rolled out by service providers. Indeed, in Kenya providers are currently shifting from 3.5g to4G technologies. • The necessary reference for line 181 has been added • The author finds the conclusion satisfactorily sufficient • More references have been added
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		• The comments by the reviewer were quite useful. Thanks