



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_30605
Title of the Manuscript:	Design and comparison of equiripple bandpass filter for wireless applications at varying bandwidths
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The problem discussed in the paper is interesting and still valid. This fact is demonstrated by a series papers cited by this manuscript. The paper is well written but a few misprints have to be improved: 1. Check once again text and change big letters to small for example: "...evolution of Software Defined radio...", "...includes Multi service.." and so on. 2. Create Figure 4 in the same way as Figure 5 (cut the snapshot) 3. Improve the quality of equations. 4. Give clear definitions for used variables in the paper. After consideration the mentioned remarks.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Radosław Jedynak
Department, University & Country	Computer Science & Mathematics Department, Kazimierz Pulaski University of Technology and Humanities, Poland