



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_30535
Title of the Manuscript:	Modeling of Tomato Prices in Ashanti Region, Ghana, Using Seasonal Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average Model
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript is impressive and the study is useful to the nation. So I recommended this manuscript by changing following revision. 1. Improve the introduction part. Involve more detail about SARIMA 2. Go through whole manuscript and correct grammatical errors. 3. Is SARIMA can apply to other fruits? 4. If possible make comparison study between two or more fruits. 5. Add some latest references from science domain journal related to your topic	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shreekant Pathak
Department, University & Country	Department of Applied Mathematics and Humanities, S.V National Institute Of Technology, India