SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJMCS_29644**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Defect Prediction Framework using Adaptive Neuro-Fuzzy Inference System (ANFIS) for Software Enhancement Projects**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. **How to calculate accuracy of the Defect prediction model (ANFIS)? (page No:13)** 2. **Have you used any statistical tests like MAD, MAPE, and MSE for accuracy levels?**	
Minor REVISION comments	Not consider many more parameters of defect prediction model	
Optional/General comments	It was good attempt for Defect prediction in particularly SDLC	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Shaik Nafeez Umar***
Department, University & Country	***Statistics , Mathematics and Computer Science, ANGRAU, India***