



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_29326
Title of the Manuscript:	A possible exact solution for the Newtonian constant of gravity
Type of the Article	Original research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	It is built on the purely numerical coincidence between that $G \sim 1/16\pi c$ in the SI system of units. However, the dimensions of these two quantities differ, which the author "resolves" by introducing arbitrary dimensioned constants like $\eta = 1 \text{ kg} / \text{m}^3$. In the paper itself, the author reveals complete ignorance about tensor algebra and calculus, obviously not comprehending concepts like eigenvalues, the trace of a tensor, or its representation in a coordinate system.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics, Waterloo, Ontario N2L 2Y5, Canada