



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_29302
Title of the Manuscript:	Statistical Analysis of Weight Gain of Children Under-five years in the Kintampo Municipality, Ghana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Reshape the abstract and make it logic and clearly. In particular, refine the middle part from Place and Duration of Study: to Methodology. 2. Include recent research result in introduction section. 3. Not clearly understand how to evaluate the accuracy of the model, please address in details Idea of this paper is meaningful, the method and results are also convincible. Little novelty is the issue of this paper, the story of this paper is plausible.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hui li
Department, University & Country	Department of Genetics and Genomics, Icahn Medicine School at Mount Sinai, USA