



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_29302
Title of the Manuscript:	Statistical Analysis of Weight Gain of Children Under-five years in the Kintampo Municipality, Ghana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Even if the statistical analysis carried out by authors are standard, the problem is interesting and the results of the analysis are clearly discussed. My minor concern is why the authors retain as covariate the child's age, that obviously comes out to be significant in the weight gain: data are repeated measure and the other results (on sex, education,...) are much more interesting. They should explain and justify better this choice. Moreover the paper needs also a revision to fix typos and grammar mistakes.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anna Maria Paganoni
Department, University & Country	Department of Mathematics, Politecnico di Milano, Italy