SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJMCS_29238**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Empirical Performance of Internal Sorting Algorithm**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**There are no sufficient details on** *C++ time function* **that you used.** **You have not given much details on internal and external sorting algorithms yet they are front and centre in your work** **The literature review should give a critical analysis of existing work and logically situate your work. Your literature review is more of a literature summary.** **Show exactly where data came from and necessary references.** **The citations are not in order. Check entire manuscript.** **The references are not uniformly written according to acceptable style. See Ref [18] and many others.**	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Stephen Akandwanaho**
Department, University & Country	**Department of Computer Science, University of KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa.**