



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_29238
Title of the Manuscript:	Empirical Performance of Internal Sorting Algorithm
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	I know there are many and advanced sorting algorithms but I would have suggested that the author considers the other basic and popular algorithms such as : Merge Sort , Radix Sort , Counting Sort , Heap Sort and Bucket Sort.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Consider adding the below as a reference or the references under this publication (thesis) A Survey, Discussion and Comparison of Sorting Algorithms by Ashok Kumar Karunanithi Department of Computing Science Ume'a University Master's Thesis, 30hp Supervisor: Frank Drewes Examiner: Jerry Eriksson , June 2014	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdul-Salam Sibidoo Mubashiru
Department, University & Country	Mathematics Department, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Ghana