



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_29176
Title of the Manuscript:	MODELING SATISFACTION FACTORS THAT PREDICT STUDENTS CHOICE OF PRIVATE HOSTELS IN A GHANAIAN POLYTECHNIC
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	➤ Grammatical errors have to be fixed, let a peer go through the work before submission. ➤ Keys words are not appropriately chosen, I suggest academically aligned keywords ➤ Check the second last paragraph of the introduction and change co-relation to correlation ➤ Since this study is more inclined to social research, I suggest that the researcher clearly points out 1) problem 2)objectives 3)significance and 4)justification(optional) ➤ Literature review should be reduced and more citation provided. ON THE METHODOLOGY ➤ Reasons for using purpose in not clear, more precisely it is ambiguous ➤ If different hostels were considered the a sampling frame does exist from which the author would have used relevant sampling techniques to choose the sample ➤ Author should provide a clear reason for using purposive and hence the n=350. ➤ I don't not agree with the author using purpose sampling for this study, it does not give weight to the article to be published in the BJMCS ➤ From what I have picked up it is absolutely incorrect for the author to claim that a sampling frame does not exist, yet there are different hostels offering the private arrangement..	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	➤ I advise the author to read so that s/he can be able to understand the study population.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	More has to be done on the methodology, Let the author read a books 1) William Cochran (1977): sampling Techniques, 2) Kish Leslie (1965) Survey Sampling. Those will help the author to present an acceptable methodology. The results cannot be authenticated if the methodology is lacking.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Kikawa Richard Cliff</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Dept of Maths and Stats, Tshwane University of Technology, South Africa</i>