SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJMCS_28861**
Title of the Manuscript:	**iCleaner: A Data Cleansing Tool for Outlier Detection in a Data Warehousing Environment**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Revise Figure 1 and Figure 5 e.g. I think have similar caption but they differ in content!** I suggest Figure 1 to be Architecture for Data Cleansing rather than Data Cleansing Framework. I strongly I agree that Figure 5 is what argued to be Data Cleansing Framework.	
Minor REVISION comments	Data Cleansing Framework requires an explicit section to elaborate more about the Framework e.g. describe and covered all processes involved during execution of the framework. I believe it's the core of the **iCleaner** as a tool for data cleansing.	
Optional/General comments	Originality exists so it sounds technically good. The introduction, motivation and background are well presented and covered. But system implementation and deployment lack ***supportive notes*** with connection to the implementation of Data Cleansing Framework.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Joseph Elias Mbowe***
Department, University & Country	***Research and ICT Department – National Examinations Council of Tanzania, Tanzania***