



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_28107
Title of the Manuscript:	Scalable functions used for empirical forecasting
Type of the Article	Short communication

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The paper is well and clearly written but a few mistakes have to be corrected: • "...they are form the family..." (p. 2) - maybe from? • "...As, such, the average..." (p.6) - "...As such ..." • Reference [11] - you should add the publication date You should write short conclusion (as separate section) to sum up your main results of the manuscript. The manuscript can be published after consideration the mentioned remarks.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Radosław Jedynak
Department, University & Country	Computer Science & Mathematics Department, Kazimierz Pulaski University of Technology and Humanities, Radom, Poland