



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_28107
Title of the Manuscript:	Scalable functions used for empirical forecasting
Type of the Article	Short communication

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	It is necessary to discuss the importance of empirical forecasting in different fields at the beginning of the paper before going into further details. This will make the paper more interesting for the readers. Additionally, the paper needs to have more references. I suggest you to add the following sentences to fix these issues: “The concept of empirical forecasting has been successfully applied to various disciplines such as supply chain management (Fildes et al., 2009), marketing (Ansari and Riasi, 2016), finance (Alfaro et al., 2008), etc.” Also, add the following 3 papers to the references section at the end of your paper: 1) Alfaro, E., García, N., Gámez, M., & Elizondo, D. (2008). Bankruptcy forecasting: An empirical comparison of AdaBoost and neural networks. Decision Support Systems, 45(1), 110-122. 2) Ansari, A. & Riasi, A. (2016). Modelling and Evaluating Customer Loyalty Using Neural Networks: Evidence from Startup Insurance Companies. Future Business Journal. 2(1), 15-30. 3) Fildes, R., Goodwin, P., Lawrence, M., & Nikolopoulos, K. (2009). Effective forecasting and judgmental adjustments: an empirical evaluation and strategies for improvement in supply-chain planning. International Journal of Forecasting, 25(1), 3-23.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The abstract is too short. I suggest you to add more details to the abstract section.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Delaware, USA</i>