SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJMCS_27679**
Title of the Manuscript:	**On Solving Comrade Linear systems Via Transformations**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	In my opinion, the main drawback of this work is its weak theoretical background, the obtained results are justified with the help of illustrative examples. Such a paper can be published only as a preliminary step in the investigation of the proposed algorithms. In addition, the article is written rather carelessly. Here are some examples, only to provide a few. Page 2, lines -15,-16. "...an...algorithms..." has to be "...algorithms..." (delete "an") Page 2, line -6 "different than..." has to be "different from..." Page 2, lines -4,-3 Section numbers are given incorrectly. There is no Section 5 in the paper. "Conclusions" has to be "conclusions" (lowercase). Page 5, line after **Step 8** "The Algorithm 2.1" has to be "Algorithm 2.2" (remove "The" and change the number"), "refere" has to be "referred". Page 6, Example 3.3. "system Cx=y takes the form..." has to be "system Cx=y of the form..." Page 5, lines -2,-1 "...values" has to be "...value...", "...in comparisons..." has to be "...in comparison" Page 6, Conclusions, line +2 Remove "computing the" and change "developedfor" to "developed for". Line +3, +4, +5 Change "al-gorithm" to "algorithm", "fails" to "fail", "Threenumerical" to "Three numerical", "com-petitiveness" to "competitiveness".	
Minor REVISION comments	Page 2, literature review. The word "the author(s)" is	

	ambiguous here. Do you mean yourself(yourselves) or the authors of the respective publications? I recommend to write in a more precise way.	
Optional/General comments	The paper presents new numerical algorithms based on transformation techniques of a comrade system (1.2). With the help of mappings (2.1)-(2.5) the system is transformed into system (2.6) and afterward the inverse transformation is applied. The algorithm is called COMTRANS, while its symbolic version called SCOMTRANS is also supplied. I think, the paper can be published after the revision according to the suggestions stated above.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Anonymous**
Department, University & Country	**Atilim University, Turkey**