



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_27558
Title of the Manuscript:	DEVELOPMENT OF AN AUTOMATED DESCRIPTIVE TEXT-BASED SCORING SYSTEM
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Autors improved the manuscript, they described the software and removed minor remarks. However, the main drawback, the not representative results of investigations, is not resolved. The 10 student's works is not enough. The authors mentioned of problems with getting more data, because the answer scripts were considered confidential to the school authority. In my opinion if the additional scripts are used only to test the accuracy of scoring system, the confidentiality is not violated. In other words presented system should be validated using testing data set. Testing data should not be used to create rules. It is very important to use other data to test system than data to train system (data to create rules). It is required to use bigger set than questionnaires for 10 students.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Robert Nowak
Department, University & Country	Faculty of Electronic and Information Technology, Warsaw University of Technology, Poland